

## Corpsec Hotline

April 09, 2004

### SECURITISATION ACT CONSTITUTIONALLY VALID BUT NOT SECTION 17(2): SAYS THE SUPREME COURT

In a landmark judgment, heralded as benefiting the Banks and borrowers, the Supreme Court on April 9, 2004 has upheld the validity of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 ("**Securitisation Act**") and at the same time struck down the provision that required the borrowers to deposit 75 per cent of the amount claimed by lenders before they could file an appeal with the Debt Recovery Tribunals ("**DRT**"). The judgment was delivered by a Bench comprising Chief Justice V N Khare, Justice Brijesh Kumar and Justice Arun Kumar.

The Securitisation Act was passed in 2002 to give banks and Financial Institutions ("**FI**") more teeth to recover their bad assets. It is contemplated that the judgment may open the floodgates for litigation against the notices sent by banks and FIs to the borrowers under the Securitisation Act.

The background of the case was that, constitutionality of the Securitisation Act had been challenged by around 60 defaulting parties. In December 2002, all defaulting companies, which had challenged the Securitisation Ordinance (as it was then), were asked to move the Supreme Court and 60 Petitions were clubbed to be heard together. Specific challenge was also made on the validity of Section 17(2) of the Securitisation Act, which barred judicial remedies until the borrower deposited 75 per cent of the claim amount.

**Section 17(2)** of the Securitisation Act lays down that the DRT shall not entertain an appeal preferred by a borrower unless the borrower has deposited 75 per cent of the amount claimed in the notice with the DRT. Power had also been granted to the DRT to waive or reduce the amount to be deposited under the section.

The Supreme Court of India upheld the constitutionality of the Securitisation Act, but in a move to maintain the balance, struck down Sec 17(2). The Confederation of Indian Industry, while applauding the judgment, went on to state that if the borrower had the ability to pay 75 per cent, there would have been little likelihood of default in the first place.

*The Supreme Court upholding the constitutional validity of the Securitisation Act is a welcome move for the banks and FIs as it would enable them to make good their Non-Performing Assets ("**NPA**") by possessing and disposing of the assets of the defaulting borrowers. At the same time, borrowers are not faced with the burden of depositing huge amounts to pursue judicial remedies.*

You can direct your queries or comments to **Prashant Kataria & Vivek Kathpalia**

### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this hotline should not be construed as legal opinion. View detailed disclaimer.

This Hotline provides general information existing at the time of preparation. The Hotline is intended as a news update and Nishith Desai Associates neither assumes nor accepts any responsibility for any loss arising to any person acting or refraining from acting as a result of any material contained in this Hotline. It is recommended that professional advice be taken based on the specific facts and circumstances. This Hotline does not substitute the need to refer to the original pronouncements.

This is not a Spam mail. You have received this mail because you have either requested for it or someone must have suggested your name. Since India has no anti-spamming law, we refer to the US directive, which states that a mail cannot be considered Spam if it contains the sender's contact information, which this mail does. In case this mail doesn't concern you, please unsubscribe from mailing list.

## Research Papers

### Compendium of Research Papers

April 11, 2024

### Third-Party Funding for Dispute Resolution in India

April 02, 2024

### Opportunities in GIFT City

March 18, 2024

## Research Articles

### Private Client Insights - Sustainable Success: How Family Constitutions can Shape Corporate Governance, Business Succession and Familial Legacy

January 25, 2024

### Private Equity and M&A in India: What to Expect in 2024?

January 23, 2024

### Emerging Legal Issues with use of Generative AI

October 27, 2023

## Audio

### IBC allows automatic release of ED attachments: Bombay HC reaffirms

April 15, 2024

### The Midnight Clause

February 29, 2024

### Enforceability of unstamped or inadequately stamped Arbitration Agreements

January 10, 2024

## NDA Connect

Connect with us at events, conferences and seminars.

## NDA Hotline

Click here to view Hotline archives.

## Video

### Cyber Incident Response Management

February 28, 2024

Webinar : Navigating Advertising

**Laws in India Part II Fireside Chat  
with Manisha Kapoor**

February 27, 2024

**Webinar : Navigating Advertising  
Laws in India**

February 20, 2024